Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,844	MATSUOKA, HIROYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hien D. Vu	2833	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Mr. Hien D. Vu</u> .	(3)		
(2) Ms. Melanie Gover.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,7 and 8.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed and agreed upon changes to clarify the claimed invention of claim 1 and 8 to more clearly set forth the intended concent of the invention and to further define the structure of the contact section, to cancel claim 7 thereby to place the case in condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY PORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	